Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Care for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in 21 U.S. Health Care Organizations

Cori Rattelman, MS, Nikita Stempniewicz, ScM, and Elizabeth L. Ciemins ,PhD, MPH, MA, AMGA (American Medical Group Association), Alexandria, VA

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

The COVID-19 pandemic has put unprecedented strain on the U.S. health system, but the impact on patients with type 2 diabetes is unknown. The objectives of this study was to describe changes in routine clinical care for patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) during the COVID-19 pandemic overall, and differences across health care organizations (HCO) and by patient characteristics.

METHODOLOGY

Data Source: EHR and outbound billing claims

Inclusion Criteria:

- age 18–99 (as of December 2019);
- – ≥1 diagnosis for T2DM (in calendar year 2019);
- ≥1 outpatient visit with a PCP, endocrinologist,
- cardiologist, or nephrologist (2019); and
- no evidence of pregnancy, polycystic ovary syndrome, gestational or steroid-induced diabetes, palliative care, hospice, or death (2019 or 2020).

<u>Outcomes (January – September 2020):</u> visits (in-person and telehealth), laboratory measurements (HbA1c, urine albumin to creatinine ratio, lipid panel), and clinical measurements (blood pressure (BP), body mass index (BMI))

- Visits: Monthly count of visits
- Lab and clinical measurements: Percent of patients
 with ≥1 measurement in the month
- Telehealth utilization was described as the percent of all visits in the month conducted via telehealth
- All other outcomes were described as the percent change from January 2020, e.g., in April 2020 there were 40% fewer visits compared to January
- Adjusted for the number of non-holiday weekdays in the month

A pre-COVID comparison population was used, comprises patients with T2DM in 2018 and visits from January – September 2019.

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

Patients from 21 HCOs (n=422,000)

Age (mean)	Race/Ethnicity		Last HbA1c (2019)				
65.1	White	77%	<7.0	49%			
	Black	12%	7.–7.9	22%			
	Hispanic	5%	8.0–9.0	10%			
	Asian	2%	>9.0	9%			
	Other	4%	No HbA1c	10%			

Fig	gure 1	: Changes in Visit Volume	F		
_	Visit v	volumes started decreasing in mid-March 2020			
	and b	y April volumes were 40% below January (range			
	acros	s HCOs, -32 to -52%).			
—		volumes recovered through June to -13% below			
		ary (range, -23 to +2%), and were stable through			
-:	•	ember at -12% (range, -29 to -3%).			
-		Telehealth Visits			
_		h./Feb. 2020 fewer than 1% of visits were			
		ucted via telehealth (range, 0–3%), increasing to by April (range, 13%–90%), then decreasing to			
		by September (range, 2–28%).			
Fic		: Timing of First Visit			
-		e monthly rates fluctuated, by September 2020,			
		roportion of patients with ≥1 visit was similar in			
	2020	(87% of patients) and 2019 (89% of patients).	F		
Fig	gure 4	: Changes in labs and clinical measurements			
—	On av	verage, laboratory (HbA1c, uACR, lipids) compared			
	to clir	nical measurements (BP, BMI) had a smaller			
		ne (in April) and larger recovery (in June and			
	•	ember).			
		ndings Not Presented in Figures:			
—		nts with an HbA1c >9.0 had a larger decrease in			
		in June and September compared to patients			
		lower HbA1c values.			
_	 In April 2020, Black patients had the smallest declines in visits and the greatest use of telehealth. Asian 				
		nts had the largest declines in visits.			
_	-	parable to visits, changes in lab testing, BMI, and			
	-	aried across HCOs and by patient characteristics.			
		fred del'055 frees and by patient characteristics.			
Fig	ure 4:	Changes in lab and clinical measurements	F		
0	0%	+4%			
rv 2020					
arv					
anu	-20%	Max -16%			
l ma		Min -24%			
% Chanae from Janu	-40%	A1c measured			
ana)	uACR measured -61%			
° Ch	609/	Lipid measured			
07	-60%	BMI recorded			
		BP recorded -72%			

Feb

Jan

Mar

May

Jun

Apr

2020

Sep

Aug

RESULTS



Figure 2: Proportion of visits conducted via telehealth, by HCO



Figure 3: Timing of first visit 2019 vs. 2020



AcademyHealth ANNAL ANNA

POLICY AND PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS

- Variation in changes in routine clinical care across
 HCOs and patient characteristics highlight a potential opportunity for improved care.
- HCOs should use their EHR data to identify patient populations most impacted from COVID-19 in clinical care, and target outreach accordingly
- Organizations can learn innovative approaches from each other in addressing specific aspects of the pandemic impact, e.g., drive-thru lab testing.
- In preparation for similar disruptions to healthcare in the future, further research is needed to understand and establish best practices used by HCOs to mitigate the impact of COVID on diabetes care or similar chronic conditions.

CONCLUSION

- The COVID-19 pandemic impacted visits, testing, and clinical measurements among patients with T2DM, with wide variation across HCOs and patient demographics.
- By June 2020, some HCOs had recovered to pre-COVID rates, remaining stable through September 2020.
- By September 2020, most patients with T2DM had at least 1 visit (similar to pre COVID-19 pandemic rates).
- Some organizations appeared better equipped to ensure patients received HbA1c testing, and documented BP and BMI measurement for telehealth visits, potentially through use of independent labs, home testing and monitoring, or drive-through testing sites.

CONTACT

Nikita Stempniewicz

nstempniewicz@amga.org

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This analysis was supported by a medical sponsorship from Pfizer, Inc.



Advancing High Performance Health