As temperatures decrease and groups move indoors, COVID-19 cases, along with flu and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), continue to rise.\(^1\) This is an ideal time to consider how healthcare organizations (HCOs) can contribute to equitable management of COVID-19 for all patients, from vaccination and testing to inpatient and outpatient treatment.\(^2\)

In September 2023, AMGA Research launched a study titled “Reducing Health Inequities for Populations Experiencing Disparate Care in the Context of COVID-19,” developed in collaboration with and funded by Pfizer, Inc. Four AMGA member organizations—AtlantiCare, Atlantic City, NJ; Sutter Health, Sacramento, CA; Tulane Medical Center, New Orleans, LA; and Prevea Health, Green Bay, WI—are collaborating with AMGA to develop and implement interventions to improve health equity in the management of COVID-19. The ultimate goal of the four HCOs is to apply processes used for developing tools/resources and learnings from this project to other health inequities within their systems.

Health equity, as defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), is “the state in which everyone has a fair and just opportunity to attain their highest level of health.”\(^3\) Interventions developed to address health disparities and health inequity target priority populations to confront disparities.

### Figure 1
National Institute on Minority Health and Disparities Research Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of Influence</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Interpersonal</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Societal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td>Biological Vulnerability and Mechanisms</td>
<td>Caregiver-Child Interaction, Family Microbiome</td>
<td>Community Illness Exposure, Herd Immunity</td>
<td>Sanitation, Immunization, Pathogen Exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral</td>
<td>Health Behaviors, Coping Strategies</td>
<td>Family Functioning, School/Work Functioning</td>
<td>Community Functioning</td>
<td>Policies and Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical/Built Environment</td>
<td>Personal Environment</td>
<td>Household Environment, School/Work Environment</td>
<td>Community Environment, Community Resources</td>
<td>Societal Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociocultural Environment</td>
<td>Sociodemographics, Limited English, Cultural Identity, Response to Discrimination</td>
<td>Social Networks, Family/Peer Norms, Interpersonal Discrimination</td>
<td>Community Norms, Local Structural Discrimination</td>
<td>Social Norms, Societal Structure, Discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare System</td>
<td>Insurance Coverage, Health Literacy, Treatment Preferences</td>
<td>Patient-Clinician Relationship, Medical Decision Making</td>
<td>Availability of Services, Safety Net Services</td>
<td>Quality of Care, Health Care Policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Outcomes</td>
<td>Individual Health</td>
<td>Family/Organizational Health</td>
<td>Community Health</td>
<td>Population Health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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unique barriers and facilitators within different communities. In this study, the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD) Research Framework guides AMGA’s approach by aiding researchers and participating health systems in the development of such interventions. The framework advises using multilevel interventions to improve health equity and reduce disparities, as health outcomes are influenced at multiple levels throughout the life course and in different settings (see Figure 1).²

Inequities in COVID-19 cases, disease severity, and vaccination have been well documented in the literature, with Black and Hispanic patients experiencing higher infection rates, hospitalizations, and mortality.⁵–¹⁶ Disparities also extend into COVID-19 management in the form of treatment delays and inequitable prescription of COVID-19 treatments.¹⁷–²⁵ For example, during the first half of 2022, Black patients were 36% less likely than White patients and Hispanic patients were 30% less likely than non-Hispanic patients to receive oral antiviral treatment, with larger disparities among patients with severe COVID-19 risk.¹⁷ Potential contributors to treatment disparities included more frequent hospital transfers among Black patients and overestimation of oxygen saturation in Black and Hispanic patients.²⁴–²⁶ Not only did Black and Hispanic patients receive treatment at a lower rate than White and non-Hispanic patients, respectively, but Black patients were also less likely to receive effective COVID-19 treatments, such as oral antivirals, steroids, immunomodulators, monoclonal antibody treatments, and outpatient rehabilitation, and were more likely to receive ineffective treatments.¹⁷–²⁵

Launch of COVID-19 Health Equity Study

The AMGA study “Reducing Health Inequities for Populations Experiencing Disparate Care in the Context of COVID-19” convened a kickoff meeting in September 2023, during which HCO representatives heard from national advisors on health equity interventions and engaged in shared learning and hands-on exercises to begin initial planning of interventions to address health inequities in COVID-19 management. All participating organizations conducted a preliminary review of their electronic health record (EHR) data and noted that Black and Hispanic patients were provided outpatient COVID-19 treatment about half as often as White patients.

Key takeaways from this meeting suggest that health equity interventions must be multimodal with an eye toward long-term sustainability and partnerships within and outside of health systems (see Table 1). HCO experiences from the pandemic highlighted the ability of HCOs and community agencies to partner, mobilize, and provide interventions to patients in a variety of settings. Participants in this new study intend to take lessons learned along with new information to be proactive in providing equitable COVID-19 management by engaging in both the health system/healthcare provider and patient/community levels.

Considerations: Health System and Healthcare Provider Level

- **COVID-19 fatigue/need to reinvigorate on treatment**

Study participants noted that, while there has been a fair amount of COVID-19 fatigue, reinvigoration of clinic staff is needed as additional COVID-19 cases are brought into health

### Table 1
**Key Takeaways for Health Equity Interventions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention Considerations</th>
<th>Intervention Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ COVID-19 fatigue</td>
<td>▶ All departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Sustainability of interventions</td>
<td>▶ CBOs (health and non-health focused)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Understand where patients are receiving treatment outside of ambulatory clinics (ED, specialists, urgent care)</td>
<td>▶ Churches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Take action on what data are already collected</td>
<td>▶ Employee Resource Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Do community-based organizations (CBOs) have anything to share</td>
<td>▶ Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Mixed method evaluation</td>
<td>▶ Local Health Departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Establish long term partnerships</td>
<td>▶ Other HCOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Feedback loops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Leverage existing infrastructure (e.g., mobile units)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Start small (“going deep” within a narrow population)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These takeaways apply in both the healthcare system/provider and patient/community levels.
systems for treatment in anticipation of COVID-19 surges.

- **Targeted messaging to healthcare professionals**
  When engaging on health equity, language matters. Targeted messaging can facilitate buy-in when there are differing goals among staff. For example, HCOs can present the cost benefit perspective to operations and finance and emphasize patient outcomes to nursing. Intentional language should be used to highlight the focus on gold standard and equitable care to all patients across diseases rather than seeming accusatory toward healthcare professionals.

- **Regular review of data and feedback**
  Participants discussed the importance of reviewing treatment data and providing feedback to providers and clinic staff. Benchmarking across and within practices can help highlight where specific strategies are working and allow others to learn from those best practices. Drilling these data down to the practice and provider level also allows for transparency and accountability. Incorporation of qualitative data as part of the evaluation of health equity interventions is essential to gain a more complete snapshot of the care experience, processes, or encounters and to understand what worked well and where potential opportunities for future interventions remain.

- **Need for engagement across multiple divisions with senior leadership support**
  The effort toward health equity is occasionally relegated solely to diversity, equity, and inclusion officers and their teams. However, it is essential to break down silos and take a collaborative approach to this work as patients interact with the health system across multiple settings. Engaging everyone, from physician champions to students to marketing teams—and holding them accountable—is key to ensuring a unified approach for interventions and participation across the health system. The active involvement of senior leadership is also critical to ensure top-down support for health equity initiatives.

- **Strategizing with other entities supporting patients and communities**
  Community-based organizations (CBOs), local health departments, and federally qualified health centers (FQHCs) were described as eager partners on health equity interventions but are infrequently utilized by HCOs. Engagements with these partners in addition to other local HCOs were viewed as resources for long-term sustainability of health equity interventions. However, consultation with internal legal departments is needed to support the establishment of these partnerships.

**Considerations: Patient and Community Level**

- **Establishing feedback loops and bidirectional long-term partnerships**
  When engaging with community partners, it is imperative to establish feedback loops and bidirectional partnerships with equal exchange of resources, expertise, and information so the relationships are not viewed as transactional by the community they are intended to support. Through such partnerships, HCOs can leverage patient data collected by CBOs and FQHCs and existing relationships of CBOs and FQHCs with trusted community leaders to support health equity in multiple communities. HCOs’ engagement with patients at the community level must be sustained with an eye toward the future rather than being “one and done,” as long-term community partnerships can lead to greater health equity by growing in impact over time.

- **Power of patient voice and actions**
  Patients need to be empowered to use their voices and bargaining power to ensure health systems listen to what needs are present in their communities and take action.

- **Combating patient misinformation**
  Preliminary experiences shared by attendees highlighted that patients may be unsure of when and where to seek treatment, how to access testing, and what treatment options are available. Patient uncertainty of conditions putting them at high risk for COVID-19 was another potential opportunity for education. Participants were unclear on the impact that COVID-19 vaccination misinformation may have had on patient perspectives on treatment.

- **“Going deep” within narrow populations and scaling up when possible**
  Among all participants there was an intention of “going deep” within a narrow population and scaling up to other populations when possible. Health equity interventions require a depth and expertise that is developed when going narrow and deep, while a wide and shallow approach risks overpromising and underdelivering.

**Proposed Health Equity Interventions**

Several health system and patient-facing intervention components for improving equity in COVID-19 management were discussed within the behavioral, environmental, and health-care system domains of influence as described by the NIMHD Research Framework (see Table 2). Health system-level interventions were multimodal, considered long-term feasibility, and included both broader high-level interventions and smaller, “biteable chunks.” Behavioral interventions focused on addressing individual behaviors through provider and patient education. Patient education regarding when and where to seek treatment after testing positive was discussed. HCOs noted that patients seek and receive care for COVID-19 in many different locations, so it is important to educate and engage within multiple departments (especially ambulatory care, emergency medicine, urgent care, and telehealth). Provision of continuing education on COVID-19 treatment guidelines and high-risk
conditions for patients and providers was also considered important as these evolve over time.

Environmental interventions included both the physical and sociocultural environments. CBOs, local health departments, and FQHCs were considered resources to be mobilized within the local community for education, outreach, or direct intervention implementation (for example, an ambassador program where local trusted community members are engaged with the HCO for patient education). Interventions for the sociocultural environment centered on the interpersonal and individual levels (for example, ensuring all interventions are culturally and linguistically appropriate for minority populations). Interventions for the interpersonal relationships between healthcare professionals and patients could include education on effective strategies to reduce provider bias/discrimination and education/resources to provide linguistically and culturally appropriate care.

Healthcare system-level interventions focused on leveraging existing resources and relationships within HCOs to compliantly improve COVID-19 management. For example, one participant is considering adapting existing mobile units going into communities with complete lack of healthcare access to incorporate COVID-19 vaccination, testing, and treatment. HCOs’ EHR systems could be reviewed and revised to add COVID-19 to the quality measure dashboards or create protocol-based checklists for COVID-19 management to ensure all patients are treated the same, thus reducing provider bias. Leveraging existing relationships, HCOs could also establish physician champions within multiple departments to facilitate education among physicians in advance of increased COVID-19 cases.

Conclusion
Overall, this work, as one of our expert advisors expressed, should be “not just a moment, but a movement.”27 In an attempt to impact disparities, with a particular focus on inequity in the management of COVID-19, the study team and HCOs utilized the different levels of the NIMHD Research Framework to guide exploration of intervention considerations, partnerships, and components. Through the course of this study, and by engaging multiple champions both within and outside their HCOs, our participants hope that this work will lead to measurable changes in COVID-19 treatment and outcome disparities and contribute to better engagement with patients. HCOs can leverage preliminary takeaways from the study to consider how to prepare their own organizations to provide more equitable care to patients.
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Table 2
Health Equity Interventions*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain of Influence</th>
<th>Component/Resource</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral</td>
<td>► Provider education</td>
<td>► Provider bias training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Patient education</td>
<td>► Patient education on symptoms, high-risk conditions, treatment options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment (physical and sociocultural)</td>
<td>► Culturally/linguistically appropriate materials</td>
<td>► CBOs and lay health educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Utilize community members as educators</td>
<td>► Ambassador program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care System</td>
<td>► Existing infrastructure</td>
<td>► Mobile units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Utilize staff as champions</td>
<td>► Promotoras (lay Hispanic health educators)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Existing health partners in local community</td>
<td>► Physician champions or ambassadors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► EHR integration</td>
<td>► Partnerships with local health departments and community health organizations, and FQHCs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Intervention domains of influence are based on the NIMHD Research Framework. Intervention components or potential resources are elements that your HCO could examine further as part of a needs assessment for health equity interventions. Examples provided are interventions discussed during this study’s kickoff meeting.
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